



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Am

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,726	07/12/2001	John Mark Hartel	AUS920010186US1	9323
7590	05/11/2005		EXAMINER	
Duke W. Yee Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP P.O. Box 802334 Dallas, TX 75380			VU, TUAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/903,726

Applicant(s)

HARTEL ET AL.

Examiner

Tuan A. Vu

Art Unit

2193

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan A. Vu.

(3) _____.

(2) Catherine kinslow.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 May 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 10 and 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Late in April during a telephone contact applicant's representative had asked Examiner ways to amend the language of claims 10 and 23 so to overcome the pending rejections under USC 101. Following Examiner's suggestion given during that interview the representative has provided a proposed claim amendment draft via Email for the examiner to assess. During the interview of 5/03/05, Examiner has pointed out that even though claims 10 and 23 as proposed were practically clear from a 101 statutory rejection, they did not appear to be as specific as claim 1 which represents better form and condition for allowance as set forth in the previous Office Action. And the Examiner also noted that if these claims (10 and 23) were to be in condition for allowance, some limitations (e.g. first/second object) therein are to be narrowed and a appropriate office action would be effected should the claims as re-submitted be deemed rejectable.